

Enabling Technology for Microgravity Research

[Home](#)

Information		
Name:		
Organization/Company:		
Street:		
City:		
State:		
Zip Code:		
Country:		
Phone Number:		
Fax Number:		
E-mail address:		
Enterprise you support:	Earth Science Space Science Aerospace Technology	Biological and Physical Research Space Flight Education
OBPR Respondees Only: Which one do you support?	Physical Science Research Biological Science Research Research Partnerships and Flight support	

What is the nature of your organization? (check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/>	NASA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Academia/Space Institute
<input type="checkbox"/>	Commercial/Space Product Development
<input type="checkbox"/>	Military/ Aerospace prime contractors
<input type="checkbox"/>	Military/ Aerospace subcontractors
<input type="checkbox"/>	Military/ Aerospace integrator of finished electronic systems
<input type="checkbox"/>	Manufacturer of finished electronic/software products for use by government or industry
<input type="checkbox"/>	Manufacturer of electronic subassemblies or major system components for use by military/aerospace industry
<input type="checkbox"/>	DOD, Army, Navy or Air Force facility
<input type="checkbox"/>	Research & development agency or facility
<input type="checkbox"/>	FAA or other non-DOD government aeronautics agency or facility
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify)

Microgravity Space Flight Experience: (check all that apply)

ISS SpaceLab SpaceHab Shuttle/Shuttle Middeck and platforms Free Flyer Sounding Rockets Hitch Hiker Gas Can KC 135 Drop Tower International Partner collaborations (e.g., Canada, ESA, Japan, Brazil)	Ground NRA Program Weather Balloons MIR Telescopes LDEF Interplanetary Missions (e.g., Mars Rover, Viking, Pioneer) Apollo Program Expendable Vehicle Programs Satellites Other/Commentary
---	---

Your Primary Function? (check all that apply)

Engineering Principal Investigator Co-Investigator Hardware design/integration Software development/integration Systems integration Research & development Manufacturing/production Test/ evaluation/ reliability/ quality control Other engineering (please specify)	Executive Management Corporate/ command/ operations management Program/project management Procurement/purchasing management Government/legislative management Other management (please specify)
Engineering Management Principal Investigator Co-Investigator Hardware design/integration management Software development/integration management Systems integration management Research & development management Manufacturing/production management Test/ evaluation/ reliability/ QC management Other engineering management (please specify)	Support Principal Investigator Co-Investigator Engineering/ test/ research/ service Program/project administration Procurement/purchasing Government/legislative Other (please specify)
	Academia/Space Institute Principal Investigator Co-Investigator Student Contractor Technician Other (please specify)

Questions

1a. Select all applicable technology arenas, which can lead to solutions with present and future problems in your project:	
Lab-on-chip Robotics technology Nanotechnology (e.g., sensors, motors, actuators, conductive polymers, nanotubes, etc.) Optical communication High-speed data acquisition/transfer (e.g., custom built modem) Wireless technology Smart Sensor technology (e.g., STIM, NCAP, TEDS, etc.)	AI driven technology (e.g., data mining, fault tolerant, planning and scheduling, optimization) Ultra Low power CMOS technology (i.e., <i>complementary metal oxide semiconductor</i>) Data Compression/Decompression technology Smart Structures and Materials Bio-sensors/Bio-robots/Bio-mimetics Combination of all Other technology/Commentary to above
1b. Is an innovative solution or methodology to your project/problem being pursued? If so, which are areas applied?	
Control (e.g., adaptive, feedback, neural networks, etc.) Planning and Scheduling Process (physical, chemical, biological) Fault tolerant analysis Identification/Prediction Communication (e.g., optics, wireless, real-time computing, security, AI, etc.) Data Storage/Retrieval (e.g., data mining, databases, etc.)	Sensing (e.g., smart, intelligent sensors, position, proximity, etc.) Miniaturization (e.g., embedded, firmware, ASIC, etc.) Automation (e.g., robotics, MEMS, micro-machines, etc.) Software engineering (e.g., collaborative systems, AI based systems, etc.) Rapid Prototyping Radiation Protection Other innovations/Commentary to above
2. After reading and understanding the overview associated with this "Enabling Technology for Code UG" task, are there fleet crossing technologies that you are currently engaged in that could benefit other payload developers? Please describe or provide details.	
3. Do you have a spaceflight success story for the inclusion of a Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) component or system that allowed improvements in the areas of payload performance, sizing, schedule, or cost? Please describe or provide details.	
4. Inclusion of COTS is usually done to allow for improvements into a payload development cycle. Please list issues or lessons learned that arise when using (or attempting to use) COTS hardware devices or software.	
5. Identify and provide a short description of issues that would arise in your payload development, if complete automation (e.g., a free flyer space-based platform, autonomous operation on ISS, etc.) is required.	
6. With respect to the previous question, identify and provide a short description, of other payload issues that are not related to automation.	
7. What potential system, component, or subcomponent developments are there that may resolve the above issues?	
8. List and describe software-related issues in your area that are a major deterrent to the success of your project or program.	
9. Identify issues that could potentially be resolved with the use of software that is currently unavailable.	

10. For miniaturization purposes, would you replace software with dedicated hardware like embedded chips and/or evolvable hardware (e.g., firmware, lab on chip, adaptive controller, nanotechnology, etc.)? Please describe.

11. In your experience, what hardware development has required attendant software development?

12. As a result of manifest adjustments to Shuttle flight schedules, are there retrofit activities associated with your payload or rack project that take advantage of technology, which is either emerging or more current than the original design? If so, please describe.

13. Do you have any special technology research assessment activities (e.g., Center Director's Discretionary Funds, SBIR, STTR, NRA, other government agency research) not currently available for review that you would be willing to share with others? Please describe or provide details.

14. What research and technology development is required to reduce the up-mass, volume and power of the next generation of autonomous spacecraft subsystems?

15. What research and technology development is needed to improve automated sensing and autonomous controls for microgravity payloads to allow optimal performance?

16. Is there a keynote speaker or presenter that you would recommend that can talk about a relevant, emerging technology at our workshop?

17. In the event that an 'Enabling Technology for Code UG' workshop is held, would you like to be a participant? Workshop products will include a priority listing of technologies that could provide a positive impact to existing and future research development approaches, and the methodology to pursue the maturation of technology readiness.

Yes No

Information provided in the survey is for internal NASA use only and will not be provided for public release

If you would like to send additional information, references, reports or presentations, please email [Karen Murphy](mailto:Karen.L.Murphy@msfc.nasa.gov)
(Karen.L.Murphy@msfc.nasa.gov)